

EXHIBIT 7 DATE 1/21/09 HB 40

Written Testimony – HB40

Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:00 PM RM 472

Chairman Milburn;

The Montana Building Industry Association (MBIA) is the Association of home builders and related industries in Montana. We have 2300 small business members, employing over 26,000 people across Montana. We have 9 local associations and build between 65 - 80% of Montana's homes each year.

We appear before the committee in support of HB40 with the amendments provided by the Montana Association of REALTORS and agreed to by the bill sponsor. HB40 is largely a result of the Water Policy Interim Committee and a consensus process that has developed within the previous few weeks.

Currently the process of applying for and being granted a new water right in a closed basin is completely unworkable. Over the past 2 years, the DNRC has not granted a single new water right through the process developed by HB831 (2005).

HB40, as amended is an attempt to improve the process. It is our belief that HB40, as amended is the first step in creating a working water right approval process.

Specifically, HB40:

- Clearly defines "correct and complete" for the purposes of a water right application.
- Creates an 120 day period in which DNRC can hold informal hearings with the applicant and potential objectors.
- Requires DNRC to make a preliminary decision to grant or deny at the end of the 120 day period.
- If the application is granted, the DNRC issues a public notice in which formal objections can be received. If objections are received the parties proceed to a contested case hearing and a final determination is made.
- If the application is preliminarily denied, the applicant has the option of holding a hearing, in front of a new hearings examiner, and make the arguments for why the preliminary determination was incorrect. If the applicant was successful, they proceed to a public notice.

We are supportive of this process because it creates a defined point in which DNRC will preliminarily grant or deny an application and outlines a defined path to a final ruling.



Additionally, we are supportive of the 120 period for "informal hearings" as we believe this will helpful in clearing up many objections before the formal process begins. However, we do wish that there was a record kept of these proceedings, as to reduce court costs if a granted water right is challenged in district court.

Finally, we believe that providing the option for an applicant to select a new hearings examiner will retain objectivity in the contested case process.

To be clear, HB40, even as amended is not a perfect solution. However, we believe it to be an improvement over the status quo – as a result we as stand before you in support of HB40.

Sincerely,

Dustin Stewart
Executive Director

Montana Building Industry Association